Q. 1 Who are the members of the "investment community" referred to at lines 2 26-27 of p. 52 of the evidence of K.C. McShane? Which of them have the 3 views attributed to that community by Ms. McShane and where have such 4 views been expressed? If such views have been expressed in writing or have 5 been recorded, please provide copies or audio tapes. 6 7 A. The Dominion Bond Rating Service stated in its May 2000 report on 8 HydroOne, "future earnings will be tied to interest rates via the approved 9 return on equity. Set at 9.35% for 1999 and 9.88% for 2000, the approved 10 ROE is somewhat low compared to other alternative investments, but 11 comparable to other Canadian utilities." 12 13 RBC Dominion Securities' "ROE Outlook for 2001" (October 31, 2000) 14 stated, "In light of the low levels of allowed ROEs calculated by the various 15 formulas, and the changing nature of the risk associated with the operations 16 of these companies, a number of utility and pipeline companies are pursuing 17 modifications to their ROE formulas and/or incentive regulation. Both 18 endeavors are motivated by the objective of allowing the companies to earn 19 higher ROEs that more appropriately compensate for the risk associated with 20 their operations." 21 22 The returns that have recently resulted from the NEB formula – which are 23 similar to those allowed in other Canadian jurisdictions -- have been 24 described as "anti-competitive returns that don't really work in this high flying 25 race for capital that we have here" (Donald J. Eassey, First Vice President, 26 Merrill Lynch, cited in "Roundtable Forum: Natural Gas Transmission and 27 Distribution", The Wall Street Transcript, February 21, 2000). Ronald J.

Barone, Managing Director of PaineWebber Inc., stated in the same forum,

28

2001 General Rate Application Page 2 of 2

"The regulatory environment is totally unrealistic as far as authorized returns
are concerned. I believe the regulators lose sight of the fact that there is only
one capital market. With the S&P 500 earning ROEs of 20% or more, why
should an investor accept a return on equity of 9%? To me, it just seems
unrealistic."